Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/541,028	SUKENO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID H. CHU	2628
All Participants: Status of Application: Pending		
(1) <u>DAVID H. CHU</u> .	(3)	
(2) Richard Anderson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 December 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 9 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment to correct the limitation that lacks proper antecedent basis.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/XIAO M. WU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)